## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/602,362	OHTUKA, TOSHIHIKO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHAD DICKERSON	2625		

	CHAD DICKERSON	2625		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress	
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 June 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.		
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request	
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	r).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrumer 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as	
	liance with 27 CEP 41 27 must be	filed within two month	of the data of	
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complexity.</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the		
AMENDMENTS				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause	
(c) They have not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or	· ·	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.		
<u> </u>	04 Con attached Nation of Nan Co	nan liant Anaan doo ant /	DTOL 224)	
<ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ul>		mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	owable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of	
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-16,18 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	1 6 11 1 6 6 11 N			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	ıl and/or appellant fail	s to provide a	
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>		condition for allowan	ce because:	
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (</li><li>13. ☐ Other:</li></ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)			
/Twyler L. Haskins/	(CD)			
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2625	/CD/ /Chad Dickerson/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claim limitations argued are still met by the applied references. See corresponding Action.